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The stability of the equilibrium position of a wing is investigated. The wing is modelled by a heavy rigid body with a fixed point and is close in shape to a thin plate. The wing is fastened using a viscoelastic material which can be modelled by non-linear viscoelastic springs that keep the wing in a position close to horizontal. The motion of the wing is described by a system of nonlinear ordinary integrodifferential equations which, using the model adopted, take account of the unsteady nature of the flow past the wing and the viscoelastic properties of the spring material. The stability of the equilibrium under persistent disturbances is analysed. This analysis is based on the use of series similar to those in the first Lyapunov method. The stability of the equilibrium for purely rotational motions of the wing about the longitudinal axis is investigated in the critical case of a single zero root of the characteristic equation. The Lyapunov constants which solve the stability problem are indicated. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. THE STABILITY OF THE EQUILIBRIUM UNDER PERSISTENT DISTURBANCES

Consider the motion of a heavy rigid body (a wing) with a fixed point, subject to the action of viscoelastic forces in the support (the wing fixing) under the influence of an unsteady flow.

We shall assume that the body is close in shape to an elongated plate with which we associate a system of coordinates $O x y z$ with the centre at the fixed point $O$ and axes directed along the principal axes of inertia of the body for the point $O$. The $O z$ axis coincides with the major axis of the ellipsoid of inertia, the $O y$ axis concludes with the miner axis and the $O x$ axis completes the system of coordinates.
We introduce a right-hand, rectangular system of coordinates $O x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ with the $O y_{1}$ axis directed along the ascending vertical and the $O x_{1}$ axis directed opposite to the velocity vector of the unperturbed free stream. Small perturbations are superimposed on this stream.
We specify the position of the system of coordinates $O x y z$ relative to $O x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ by the aircraft angles: $\psi$ (the yaw angle) is the angle of rotation of the trihedron $O x y z$ about the $O y_{1}$ axis from the position when the two systems of coordinates are coincident, $\vartheta$ (the pitch angle) is the angle of rotation about the $O z_{1}$ axis in its new position $O z^{\prime}$ after the first rotation and $\varphi$ (the bank angle) is the angle of rotation about the $O x$ axis in its position after the second rotation.
We denote the projections of the unit vector of the $O y_{1}$ axis by $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$, and also the projections of the unit vector of the $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ axis onto the axes of the system of coordinates $O x y z$ by $O z_{1}$ and then change from the angular variables $\vartheta, \psi, \varphi$, to the direction cosines $\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}, \gamma_{1}$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \vartheta=\beta_{1}, \quad \sin \psi=-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\sqrt{1-\beta_{1}^{2}}}, \sin \varphi=-\frac{\beta_{3}}{\sqrt{1-\beta_{1}^{2}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $A_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are the principal moments of inertia of the body for point $O, \omega_{i}$ are the projections of the instantaneous angular velocity vector for the rotation of the body onto the axes of the fixed system of coordinates, $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$ are the coordinates of the centre of mass of the body in the fixed axes and $L_{i}, M_{i}$ are, respectively, the moments of the viscoelastic and aerodynamic forces with respect to the moving axes. We write the equations of motion in the form (a derivative with respect to the time $t$ is denoted by a dot)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1} \dot{\omega}_{1}+\left(A_{3}-A_{2}\right) \omega_{2} \omega_{3}=m g\left(z_{0} \beta_{2}-y_{0} \beta_{3}\right)+L_{1}+M_{1} \quad(123) \\
& \dot{\beta}_{1}=\omega_{3} \beta_{2}-\omega_{2} \beta_{3}, \quad \dot{\beta}_{3}=\omega_{2} \beta_{1}-\omega_{1} \beta_{2}, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{1}=\omega_{3} \gamma_{2}-\omega_{2} \gamma_{3} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We now express the quantities $\beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ appearing in Eqs (1.2) in terms of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}, \gamma_{1}$ on the basis of geometrical considerations relating the direction cosines $\beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{k}(i, k=1,2,3)$. We then have

$$
\beta_{2}=1+B_{2}, \quad \gamma_{2}=-\beta_{3}+\Gamma_{2}, \quad \gamma_{3}=1+\Gamma_{3}
$$

where $\beta_{2}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ are power series of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}, \gamma_{1}$.
We shall assume that the wing is kept in a position close to horizontal by means of viscoelastic springs (which, generally speaking, are non-linear) and, taking account of the Volterra-Fréchet representation $[1,2]$, we write the moments, with respect to the coordinate axes $O x, O y, O z$, of the viscoelastic forces acting on the body in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=l_{1}\left(\beta_{3}+\beta_{3}^{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{1} L_{1}^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\beta_{3}(s)+\beta_{3}^{0}\right) d s+L_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}, \gamma_{1}, t\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the analogous expressions for $L_{2}, L_{3}$ after replacing by $l_{1}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{3}^{0}, L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $l_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{0}, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively.

In (1.3), $l_{i}=$ const, $\beta_{1}^{0}, \beta_{3}^{0}, \gamma_{1}^{0},=$ const, and $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are exponentially decreasing kernels (which characterize the viscoelastic properties of the material)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant C_{1} \exp \left(-\alpha_{1} t\right), \quad \alpha_{1}, \quad C_{1}=\text { const }>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the non-linear terms $L_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}, \gamma_{1}, t\right)$ are functionals which include Volterra-Fréchet series (without the linear terms) and contain multiple integrals which are assumed to have exponentially decreasing kernels. The linear terms in (1.3) correspond to small rotations about the coordinate axes and follow from the kinematic equations which associate small rotations about the coordinate axes $O x, O y, O z$ with the angles $\vartheta, \psi, \varphi$ and, consequently, according to (1.1), with $\beta_{3}, \gamma_{1}, \beta_{1}$.

It can also be assumed that formulae (1.3) describe all the relaxation processes in the material of the wing support (the spring material).

Taking into account the unsteady nature of the flow past the wing, we shall take the moments of the aerodynamic forces in the form [3-5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}=m_{i 0}+m_{i 1} \alpha+m_{i 2} \beta+\sum_{j=1,2.3} m_{i j+2} \omega_{j}+\sum_{j=1.2,30} \int_{0}^{t} I_{i j}(t-s) \dot{\omega}_{j}(s) d s+ \\
& +\int_{0}^{\prime} J_{i 1}(t-s) \dot{\alpha}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} J_{i 2}(t-s) \dot{\beta}(s) d s+J_{i 1}(t) \alpha(0)+J_{i 2}(t) \beta(0)+ \\
& +\sum_{j=1,2.3} I_{i j}(t) \omega_{j}(0)+m_{i}(t)+M_{i}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{1.5}\\
& m_{i k}=\text { const, } \quad i=1,2,3 ; \quad k=1,2 ; \quad m_{30}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the angle of attack, $\beta$ is the glancing angle, the integral kernels $l_{i j}(t), J_{i k}(t)$ and are continuously differentiable and the moments $m_{i}(t)$ due to small perturbations in the stream velocity are continuous, bounded functions which satisfy the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{i k}(t)\right|,\left|J_{i k}(t)\right|, \quad\left|\dot{I}_{i k}(t)\right|,\left|j_{i k}(t)\right|,\left|m_{i}(t)\right| \leqslant C_{2} \exp \left(-\alpha_{2} t\right) \\
& \alpha_{2}, \quad C_{2}=\mathrm{const}>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The non-linear integral terms appearing in $M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ only contain integral kernels which tend to zero exponentially when $t \rightarrow+\infty$. We shall assume that

$$
\alpha=\vartheta+\alpha_{0}, \quad \beta=\psi, \quad \alpha_{0}=\text { const }
$$

or that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\beta_{1}+\alpha_{0}, \quad \beta=-\gamma_{1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be assumed that the constant and integral kernels in (1.5) are initially calculated for relations of the form of (1.7) so we shall assume that, in formulae (1.5), $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are expressed in terms of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}$,
$\gamma_{1}$ as given by (1.7). In this case, it can also be assumed that the non-linear terms in the dependences of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on $\beta_{1}^{0}, \beta_{3}^{0} \gamma_{1}^{0}$ are attributed to the terms $M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ in (1.5).

We shall assume that the constants $\beta_{1}^{0}, \beta_{3}^{0} \gamma_{1}^{0}$ in (1.3) for specified $l_{i}$ are chosen so that the elastic forces maintain the resting wing in a position for which $\varphi=\psi=\vartheta=0$ when there are no integral (viscoelastic) and non-linear terms in (1.3) and no integral terms in the aerodynamic moments (1.5).

We shall put

$$
\omega_{i}=x_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 ; \quad \beta_{1}=x_{4}, \quad \gamma_{1}=x_{5}, \quad \beta_{3}=x_{6}
$$

After transforming the integral terms in (1.5), we write Eqs (1.2) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}^{\prime}=A x+\int_{0}^{t} K(t-s) x(s) d s+\phi(t)+X^{\prime}(x)+Y^{\prime}(x) \\
& \dot{x}^{\prime \prime}=J x^{\prime}+X^{\prime \prime}(x)  \tag{1.8}\\
& x=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right), \quad x^{\prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \quad x^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right), \quad \phi(t)=\operatorname{col}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right) \\
& X^{\prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}, X_{3}^{\prime}\right), \quad X^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}, X_{3}^{\prime}\right), \quad Y^{\prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{3}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\left\|a_{i j}\right\|$ and $J=\left\|J_{i k}\right\|$-are constant $3 \times 6$ and $3 \times 3$ matrices respectively, the non-zero elements of matrix $J$ constitute the diagonal $J_{13}=1, J_{22}=-1, J_{31}=-1 ; K(t)=\left\|K_{i j}(t)\right\|$-is a continuous matrix, the elements of which, by virtue of (1.4) and (1.6), tend exponentially to zero when $t \rightarrow+\infty$, the functions $X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}$ contain the non-linear terms of the corresponding equations (1.2), the functional $Y^{\prime}$ contains the non-linear terms of the integral representations (1.3) and (1.5) and $\phi(t)$ is a continuous function which tends to zero as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. For example, for the first equation of (1.8) the elements of the matrices $A, K(t)$ and the function $\phi_{1}(t)$ have the following values

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1 j}=\frac{m_{1 j+2}+I_{1 j}(0)}{A_{1}} \\
& a_{14}=\frac{m_{11}+J_{11}(0)}{A_{1}}, a_{15}=-\frac{m_{12}+J_{12}(0)}{A_{1}}, \quad a_{16}=\frac{l_{1}-m g y_{0}}{A_{1}} \\
& K_{1 j}(t)=\frac{i_{1 j}(t)}{A_{1}}, \quad K_{14}(t)=\frac{j_{11}(t)}{A_{1}}, K_{15}(t)=-\frac{j_{12}(t)}{A_{1}}, \quad K_{16}(t)=\frac{L_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{A_{1}}  \tag{1.9}\\
& \phi_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{A_{1}}\left(m_{1}(t)+\beta_{3}^{0} \int_{+\infty}^{\prime} L_{1}(s) d s+\alpha_{0} J_{11}(t)\right), j=1,2,3
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions for $a_{p s}, K_{p s}(t), \phi_{p}(t) \stackrel{+\infty}{(p=2,3)}$ are similar to (1.9) and easily follow from (1.2). The functions $X_{i}^{\prime}(x), X_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ in $\mathrm{Eqs}(1.8)$ are defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right) x_{2} x_{3}+m g z_{0} B_{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) / A_{1}, \quad X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-x_{2} x_{6}+x_{3} B_{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by analogous expressions when $i=2,3$.
The characteristic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{2}^{\prime}+K_{2}^{*}(\lambda)-\lambda^{2} J^{T}+\lambda\left(A_{1}^{\prime}+K_{1}^{*}(\lambda)\right) J^{T}\right)=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{\prime}=\left\|a_{i k}\right\|, \quad A_{2}^{\prime}=\left\|a_{i k+3}\right\|, \quad K_{1}^{*}(\lambda)=\left\|K_{i k}^{*}(\lambda)\right\|, \quad K_{2}^{*}(\lambda)=\left\|K_{i k+3}^{*}(\lambda)\right\| \\
& K_{i j}^{*}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \exp (-\lambda s) K_{i j}(s) d s, \quad i, k=1,2,3 ; \quad j=1, \ldots, 6
\end{aligned}
$$

corresponds to Eqs (1.8) and (1.9).
We shall assume that the perturbations associated with the viscoelastic properties of the material and the unsteady nature of the flow past the wing (in particular, gusts of wind) are small, that is, in Eqs
(1.8)-(1.10), we shall assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\mu \tilde{L}_{i}(t), \quad I_{i j}(t)=\mu \tilde{\mu}_{i j}(t), \quad J_{i j}(t)=\mu \tilde{J}_{i j}(t), \quad m_{i}(t)=\mu \tilde{m}_{i}(t) \\
& \left|\tilde{L}_{i j}(t)\right|,\left|\tilde{J}_{i j}^{\prime}(t), \quad\right| \tilde{m}_{i}(t) \mid<\text { const when } t \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu(0<\mu \ll 1)$ is a small parameter. Then, in Eqs (1.8), the function $\phi(t)$ will contain the parameter $\mu$ as a factor.

Consider Eqs (1.8)-(1.10) in which the functions $\phi_{i}(t)$ are assumed to be persistent disturbances. Suppose all the roots $\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}$ of Eqs (1.11) in the domain of its definition, which is given, by virtue of (1.4) and (1.6), by the inequality $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geqslant-\min \left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$, are such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{s}^{\prime} \leqslant 1<0$ for a certain $l$. Then, the resolvent of the linearized equation (1.8) tends to zero and admits of an exponential estimate. Consequently, the theorem in [6] on stability under persistent disturbances is applicable and, according to this theorem, the general solution of Eqs (1.8) in a certain neighbourhood of the point $x_{i}=0$, ( $i=1, \ldots, 6$ ) is represented by absolutely convergent power series in the initial values $x_{0 i}=x_{i}(0)$ of the variables $x_{i}$ and of the parameter $\mu$ with coefficients which tend exponentially to zero when $t \rightarrow+\infty$. The point $x_{i}=0$ is stable under persistent disturbances. Every solution of Eqs (1.8), for which $\left[x_{00}\right]<$ $\delta(i=1, \ldots, 6), \mu<\delta$ for a certain $\delta>0$, tends asymptotically to zero when $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

## 2. DETERMINATION OF THE LYAPUNOV CONSTANT $G_{2}$ IN THE CRITICAL CASE.

We shall now analyse the stability in the critical case of a single zero root and consider rotational motions of the wing which enables us to obtain an explicit formula for the Lyapunov constant. We shall follow the scheme for calculating these constants pointed out earlier in $[7,8]$.
Suppose the wing rotates around the longitudinal axis of its ellipsoid of inertia for the point $O$. Then, subject to the conditions that $\alpha_{0}=0$, only elastic forces act in the supports and the moment of the aerodynamic forces does not contain terms associated with perturbations of the free stream, Eqs (1.8) reduce to the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{3}=\sum_{j=3.4}\left(a_{3 j} x_{j}+\int_{0}^{\prime} K_{3 j}(t-s) x_{j}(s) d s\right)+F_{3}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \dot{x}_{4}=x_{3}+F_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{33}=\frac{1}{A_{3}}\left(m_{35}+I_{33}\right), \quad a_{34}=\frac{1}{A_{3}}\left(m g y_{0}+l_{3}+m_{31}+J_{31}(0)\right) \\
& K_{33}(t)=\frac{\dot{I}_{33}(t)}{A_{3}}, \quad K_{34}(t)=\frac{j_{31}(t)}{A_{3}}  \tag{2.2}\\
& F_{3}=\frac{m g x_{0}}{2 A_{3}} x_{4}^{2}+F^{\prime}+F^{\prime \prime}+\ldots, \quad F_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} x_{3} x_{4}^{2}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

The functions $K_{3 j}(s)$ possess the property $K_{3 j}(t) \in e_{1}(-\gamma)$ for certain $\gamma>0$, that is, the satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|K_{3 j}(t)\right|<C \exp (-\gamma t), \quad C=\text { const }>0
$$

The quadratic integral terms $F^{\prime}$ and the third-degree terms $F^{\prime \prime}$, which will be described in detail in section 3 when determining the constant $F^{\prime}$ are separated out in Eqs (2.1) and (2.2). It is assumed that the corresponding terms are included in the expressions for the moments of the aerodynamic forces. After transforming the integral terms, the functional $g_{3}$, on which the Lyapunov constant $g_{2}$ depends, is represented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}=F_{33}^{\prime}+F_{34}^{\prime}+F_{44}^{\prime} \\
& F_{s p}^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} K_{s p}^{\prime}\left(t-s_{1}, t-s_{2}\right) x_{s}\left(s_{1}\right) x_{p}\left(s_{2}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2}, \quad s, p=3,4 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral kernels $K_{s p}^{\prime}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ are assumed to be continuous when $0 \leqslant s_{i} \leqslant t<+\infty(i=1,2)$ and possess the property

$$
\left|K_{s p}^{\prime}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant C \exp \left(-\alpha_{1}^{\prime} s_{1}-\alpha_{2}^{\prime} s_{2}\right), \quad C, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}=\mathrm{const}>0
$$

We shall assume that the conditions of Theorem 1.1, formulated in [9], are satisfied. This theorem also holds when there are integral terms of the form of (2.3) with kernels of the type considered below on the right-hand sides of the equations, as was noted in [8].

Suppose the characteristic equation for system (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\lambda) \equiv \lambda^{2}-\lambda\left(a_{33}+K_{33}^{*}(\lambda)\right)-a_{34}-K_{34}^{*}(\lambda)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a finite number of roots $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}(i=1, \ldots, L)$ within the domain of its definition, that $\lambda_{L}^{\prime}=0$ and $\lambda_{L-1}^{\prime}$ $<0$, and the remaining roots $\lambda_{s}^{\prime}$ are numbered in increasing order of their real parts and such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{s}^{\prime}>-\gamma\left(s=1, \ldots, L-2,0<\gamma<\alpha_{2}\right)$. Then, the condition

$$
a_{34}=-K_{34}^{*}(0)
$$

must be satisfied in the case of Eqs (2.1).
The above equality which, according to relations (2.2), can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m g y_{0}-l+m_{31}=0, \quad l=-l_{3}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

imposes a condition on the location of the centre of pressure of the wing. Suppose $c$ is the distance from the $O z_{1}$ axis to the centre of pressure $C$ (when $\alpha=0$ ) and $R$ is the magnitude of the normal component of the principal aerodynamic force vector applied at this centre (Fig. 1). Then, by confining ourselves to the linear steady state part of the force $R$, its moment can be written in the form

$$
M=m_{31} \alpha \equiv c x\left(\rho V^{2} / 2\right) \alpha, \quad x=\text { const }
$$

where $p V^{2} / 2$ is the pressure head. Consequently, relation (2.5) will be satisfied if

$$
c=\left(l-m g y_{0}\right)\left(x \rho V^{2} / 2\right)^{-1}
$$

We rewrite the general solution of the linearized equation (2.1) in the form [10]

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{3}(t), x_{4}(t)\right)= \\
& =X(t) x(0) \equiv\left(\frac{\Phi_{1}(0)}{\Phi^{\prime}(0)}+\frac{\Phi_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}{\Phi^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)} \exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right)+\hat{X}(t)\right) x(0) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 1.
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{L-1}^{\prime}, \quad X(t)=\left\|x_{i j}(t)\right\|, \quad X(0)=E_{2}, \quad \hat{X}(t) \in e_{1}\left(-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\lambda_{0}>-\lambda_{1}\right) \\
& \Phi_{1}(\lambda)=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda & -K_{34}^{*}(0)+K_{34}^{*}(\lambda) \\
1 & \lambda-a_{33}-K_{33}^{*}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right\|, \quad \Phi^{\prime}(\lambda)=\frac{d \Phi(\lambda)}{d \lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $X^{\prime}(t)=\left(x_{i j}^{\prime}(t)\right)(i, j=1,2)$ is the Lyapunov normal, fundamental matrix of linearized system (2.1).
We now introduce a matrix $Y^{\prime}(t)=\left\|y_{i j}^{\prime}(t)\right\|(i, j=1,2)$ which is such that $Y^{\prime}(t) X^{\prime}(t)=E_{2}$ and, following the scheme for calculations the Lyapunov constant $g_{3}[7,8]$, we make the replacement of variable $x_{3}$, by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{11}^{\prime}(t) x_{3}+y_{12}^{\prime}(t) x_{4} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the condition that $y_{11}^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ when $t \geqslant 0$. As a result, we obtain the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{y}=y_{11}^{\prime}(t) F_{3}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+y_{12}^{\prime}(t) F_{4}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+ \\
& +\int_{0}^{\prime}\left[\varphi_{1}(t, s) F_{3}\left(x_{3}(s), x_{4}(s)\right)+\varphi_{2}(t, s) F_{4}\left(x_{3}(s), x_{4}(s)\right)\right] d s  \tag{2.8}\\
& \varphi_{j}(t, s)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(y_{11}^{\prime}(t) x_{1 j}(t-s)+y_{12}^{\prime}(t) x_{2 j}(t-s)\right) \\
& \dot{x}_{4}=\left(y-y_{12}^{\prime}(t) x_{4}\right) / y_{11}^{\prime}(t)+F_{4}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the quantity $x_{3}$ is expressed in terms of $y$ and $x_{4}$ according to relation (2.7).
Next, we transform Eq (2.9) using the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right) x_{4} / x_{22}^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, Eq (2.9) for the non-critical variable becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}=\lambda_{1} z+\frac{\exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right)}{x_{22}^{\prime}(t)}\left(\frac{y}{y_{11}^{\prime}(t)}+F_{4}^{\prime}(y, z, t)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with bounded coefficients when $t \geqslant 0$. In Eq (2.11). $F_{4}^{\prime}(y, z, t)$ are the non-linear terms $F_{4}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ which have been transformed to the variables $y$ and $z$.

We now eliminate the term which is linear in $y$ from Eq (2.11) and, for this purpose, we carry out the transformation of the variable $z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=z+u_{1}(t) y, u_{1}(t)=-\exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right) \int_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d s}{x_{22}^{\prime}(s) y_{11}^{\prime}(s)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the continuous, bounded function $u_{1}(t)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(t)=u_{0}+\tilde{u}_{1}(t), u_{0}=-\frac{\lambda_{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}{l_{1}^{2} \Phi^{\prime}(0)}, l_{1}=\lambda_{1}-K_{33}^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+K_{33}^{*}(0) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\bar{u}_{1}(t) \in e_{1}\left(-\alpha_{0}\right)$ for a certain $\alpha_{0}>0$.
Taking account of relations (2.10) and (2.12), we now determine (sport from an additive function which tends to zero as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ ) the coefficient of $y^{2}$ in $\mathrm{Eq}(2.8)$ which we shall denote by $g_{2}^{\prime}(t)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\left(y_{11}^{\prime}(t)+\int_{0}^{\prime} \varphi_{1}(t, s) d s\right)\left(\frac{m g x_{0}}{2 A_{3}}\left(x_{22}^{\prime}(t) \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} t\right)\right)^{2} u_{1}^{2}(t)+\tilde{F}(t)\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{F}(t)$ is a function which is generated by the integral terms $F^{\prime}$ in (2.3) and will be more precisely defined below.

Starting out from relation (2.14), we obtain the Lyapunov constant $g_{2}=\lim g_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

We calculate the constants $\varphi_{1}^{0}, y_{11}^{0}$, appearing in the representation of the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{j}(t, s) d s=\varphi_{j}^{0}+\tilde{\varphi}_{j}(t), \quad y_{1 j}^{\prime}(t)=y_{1 j}^{0}+\tilde{y}_{1 j}(t)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \tilde{\varphi}_{j}(t), \tilde{y}_{1 j}(t) \in e_{1}\left(-\alpha_{0}\right), \quad j=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

On the basis of formulae (2.8) and (2.6) and taking account of the fact that $x_{11}(0)=1, x_{21}(0)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{0}=\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(0)}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}\left(l_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{\Phi^{\prime}(0)}\right), y_{11}^{0}=-\frac{l_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} \Phi^{\prime}(0) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we make the following assumption regarding the structure of the integral kernels in formulae (2.3)

$$
K_{s p}^{\prime}\left(t-s_{1}, t-s_{2}\right)=K_{s p}^{(1)}\left(t-s_{1}\right) K_{s p}^{(2)}\left(t-s_{2}\right)
$$

Suppose that $F_{s p}^{0}=\lim F_{s p}^{\prime}$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.3). Carrying out the corresponding calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{s p}^{(0)}=\frac{k_{s p}^{(1)} k_{s p}^{(2)}}{\left(\Phi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}}\left(l_{1}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{8-s-p}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{1}}\right)^{10-s-p}  \tag{2.17}\\
& k_{s p}^{(i)}=\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{s p}^{(i)}(s) d s, \quad i=1,2 ; s, p=3,4
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by relations (2.14)-(2.17), we have the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}=\frac{m g x_{0}}{2 A_{3} l_{1}^{l_{1}}} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{\left(\Phi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}}+F_{33}^{0}+F_{34}^{0}+F_{44}^{0} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Lyapunov constant.
Hence, on the basis of the theorem on instability in $[8,9]$, if $g_{2} \neq 0$, the equilibrium position under consideration is unstable.
In the special case, when there are no quadratic integral terms (2.3) in the aerodynamic moments, formula (2.18) leads to the instability condition $x_{0} \neq 0$. It is of interest to investigate the case when $g_{2}=0$. Then, the stability of the equilibrium position depends on the sign of the constant $g_{3}$, which is determined by the terms of up to the third order of magnitude inclusive on the right-hand sides of the equations.

## 3. DETERMINATION OF THE LYAPUNOV CONSTANT $G_{3}$

Suppose $g_{2}=0$. We shall discuss the steady part of the moment of the aerodynamic forces. We will assume that the wing is rectangular and we will denote the distance from the leading edge of the wing $A$ to the centre of pressure $C$ by $S$ and the chord of the wing by $d$. The cross-section of the wing is the plane orthogonal to the $O z_{1}$ axis and which passes through $C$, showed schematically in the figure, where $O^{\prime}$ is the point of intersection of $O z_{1}$ with this plane. On the basis of results of investigations carried out previously [11] in the domain of small changes in the angle of attack $\alpha$, the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=d\left(s_{0}+s_{2} \alpha^{2}\right) . R=r_{1} \alpha-r_{3} \alpha^{3} \\
& \left(s_{0}, s_{2} r_{1}, r_{3}=\text { const }>0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

can be taken as an approximation for $S$ and the magnitude of the normal pressure $R$. For the steady part $M^{\prime}$ of the moment of the aerodynamic forces, which depend on $\alpha$, this gives the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{\prime}=m_{31}^{\prime} \alpha+m^{\prime} \alpha^{3}  \tag{3.1}\\
& m_{31}^{\prime}=d s_{0} r_{1}>0, m^{\prime}=-\left(c r_{3}+d s_{2} r_{1}\right), c=O^{\prime} A-d s_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

up to terms in third-order infinitesimals.
Taking account of representation (3.1), we shall consider the following model of the moment of the aerodynamic forces acing on the wing assuming, for simplicity, that unsteady flow past the wing is solely taken into account by terms of the first order. We shall assume that the moment $M_{3}$ of the aerodynamic forces is specified, by analogy with formula (1.2), obtained after reduction, by the following relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{3} / A_{3}=m_{31}^{\prime} \alpha+m_{35}^{\prime} \omega_{3}+m^{\prime} \alpha^{3}+m^{\prime \prime} \omega_{3}^{3}+ \\
& +\int_{0}^{\prime} K_{33}^{\prime}(t-s) \omega_{3}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{\prime} K_{31}^{\prime}(t-s) \alpha^{(1)}(s) d s \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the dissipative term $m_{35}^{\prime} \omega_{3}$ is added and also a term containing $\omega_{3}^{3}$. The angle of attack $\alpha$, which is calculated for the leading edge of the wing as the angle between the relative velocity vector of the free stream and the plane of the wing, is given by the formula ( $V$ is the modulus of the absolute velocity of the stream)

$$
\operatorname{tg} \alpha=\left(\beta_{1}-(a / V) \omega_{3}\right) / \sqrt{1-\beta_{1}^{2}}
$$

which gives the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\alpha^{(1)}+\alpha^{(3)}+\ldots \\
& \alpha^{(1)}\left(\omega_{3}, \beta_{1}\right)=\beta_{1}-\frac{a}{V} \omega_{3}, \alpha^{(3)}\left(\omega_{3}, \beta_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}^{2} \alpha^{(1)}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha^{(1)}\right)^{3} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

As a result, in the case in question, we shall have Eqs (2.1) in which by (3.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{33}=m_{35}^{\prime}-(a / V) m_{31}^{\prime}, \quad a_{34}=m_{31}^{\prime}+\left(m g z_{0}-l\right) / A_{3} \\
& K_{33}(t)=K_{33}^{\prime}(t)-(a / V) K_{31}^{\prime}(t), K_{34}(t)=K_{31}^{\prime}(t)  \tag{3.4}\\
& F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}, s_{4}\right)=m_{31}^{\prime} \alpha^{(3)}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+m^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{(1)}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right)^{3}+m^{\prime \prime} x_{3}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Apart from an additive function of the class $e_{1}\left(-\alpha_{0}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}>0\right)$, the coefficient of the term containing $y^{3}$ in the equation for the critical variable can be written, taking account of the condition $g_{2}=0$, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{3}^{\prime}(t)=\left(y_{11}^{\prime}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{1}(t, s) d s\right) F^{\prime \prime}\left(\hat{x}_{3}(t), \hat{x}_{4}(t)\right)- \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{12}^{\prime}(t)+\int_{0}^{\prime} \varphi_{2}(t, s) d s\right) \hat{x}_{3}(t) \hat{x}_{4}^{2}(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{x}_{3}(t)=\left(1+u_{1}(t) y_{12}^{\prime}(t) x_{22}^{\prime}(t) \exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right)\right)\left(y_{11}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{-1}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \hat{x}_{4}(t)=-u_{1}(t) x_{22}^{\prime}(t) \exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We represent the function (3.6) in the form

$$
\hat{x}_{i}(t)=x_{i}^{0}+\tilde{x}_{i}(t), \quad \tilde{x}_{i}(t) \in e_{1}\left(-\alpha_{0}\right), \quad \alpha_{0}>0
$$

and calculate the constants $x_{i}^{0}$ and also the constants $y_{12}^{0}, \varphi_{2}^{0}$ introduced in (2.15). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{3}^{0}=-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{l_{1} \Phi^{\prime}(0)}\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{1}}\right), x_{4}^{0}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{1} \Phi^{\prime}(0)}  \tag{3.7}\\
& y_{12}^{0}=\Phi^{\prime}(0), \varphi_{2}^{0}=-\Phi^{\prime}(0)
\end{align*}
$$

On separating out the constant term from $g_{3}^{\prime},(t)$ we find $g_{3}=F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}^{0}, x_{4}^{0}\right)$ and, from (3.4)-(3.7) and (2.16), we obtain the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{3}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{1} \Phi^{\prime}(0)}\right)^{3}\left\{m_{31}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{a}{V} L\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}\left(1+\frac{a}{V} L\right)^{2}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+m^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{a}{V} L\right)^{3}-m^{\prime \prime} L^{3}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

in which, by (3.4) and (2.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{\prime}(0)=-m_{35}^{\prime}+\frac{a}{V} m_{31}^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(-K_{33}(s) d s+s K_{34}(s)\right) d s \\
& L=\lambda_{1}\left[1-\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-K_{33}^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+K_{33}^{*}(0)\right)^{-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\dot{g}_{3}>0$, the equilibrium is unstable. If $g_{3}<0$ and the integral kernels in Eq (2.1) have an exponentialpolynomial structure, the equilibrium is asymptotically stable [9].

When there are no integral terms which take account of the unsteady nature of the flow past the wing, we have $l_{1}=\lambda_{1}, L=0$, and formula (3.8) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}=\frac{1}{\left(\Phi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{6} m_{31}^{\prime}+m^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $g_{3}$ in (3.9) is identical to the analogous constant determined using the theory of critical Lyapunov cases for differential equations.

On taking account of the dissipative nature of the terms $m_{35}^{\prime} \omega_{3}$ in formula (3.2) and the interpretation of the coefficient $m_{31}^{\prime}$ given in (3.1), it can be concluded that, in (3.9), the quantity $\Phi^{\prime}(0)>0$.

It then follows from (3.9) that there is the asymptotic stability when $m^{\prime}<-m_{31}^{\prime} / 6$.
The effect of the unsteady nature of the flow past the wing on the stability turns out to be substantial if the constant $g_{3}$ (3.9) is close to zero.

Note that the constant $g_{3}$ changes if the applied forces are changed and, in particular, if, for example, we take a quantity which is linear in $\vartheta$, as the moment of the elastic forces unlike the moment of these forces assumed in sections 2 and 3 , which is linear in $\beta_{1}=\sin \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$.
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